EIS000502

	RECEIVED
13	MR. DOLAN: Hello. My name is Michael
14	Dolan. I am from Boulder, Colorado, not affiliated
15	with any groups.
16	I believe the Department of Energy is
17	deceptively pushing the Yucca Mountain plan. The
18	government and related industries should seriously
19	consider researching new technologies. This is a
20	long-term plan. New technologies are inevitable,
21	happening all the time.
22	Long-term plans should include
23	considerations for new technologies as they come along.
24 25	The quick fix of burying nuclear waste does not seem like a good plan to me.

	7	An example of the terminology that can be
	2	perceived as deceptive, when the No Action alternative
	3	was put in the EIS, that phrasing, to me, seems to be
	4	bad, deceptive to the public who are not experts. No
	5	Action is not cannot happen.
	6	The industry itself has already promised
2	7	the nuclear energy industry that they would have this
	8	waste dump, so "no action" is a ridiculous alternative.
	9	No valid alternative action is included, and much of
	10	this seems to be just a quick fix.
	11	A lot of financial considerations the
	12	industry itself is heavily pushing for transportation
	13	and geologic disposal I would call it. The Department
	14	of Nuclear Energy the Department of Energy and the
	15	nuclear industry are trying to sell this plan to the
	16	public and this has become apparent from my presence
	17	here.
1	18	Best interests of people and the world in
continued	19	general are to explore new technologies and
	20	alternatives to transport and geologic disposal.
	21	The concerns of the Western Shoshone
3	22	people of Yucca Mountain don't seem to be addressed at
	23	all. And the considerations, long term, for safety and
4	24 25	for the groundwater in the area as well as transportation just seem to be getting pushed through.

4 continued	1	As contractors have interest in this, as
	2	the plans are being drawn up, it's pretty much my
	3	conclusion that the government and industry involved
	4	wants to push this, and all other reasons being to
	5	continue to use nuclear energy technology and to have a
	6	source for weapons and defense is part of this overall
	7	influence.
	8	And we need to get on to new technologies,
	9	new forms of alternative energy which are safe, and
	10	this seems all obvious to me. And the general public
	11	seems to be being led astray by public relations and
	12	considerations for money for vested interests rather
	13	than truly on the behalf of taking care of the problem
	14	and safety.
	15	Money is a big issue; needs to be
	16	addressed. This is way behind in dealing with the
	17	waste, but it's going in the wrong direction. As one
5	18	of the few representatives of the public who are not
Ü	19	terribly well educated on the subject, seems to me that
	20	they are really just trying to ram it through. People
	21	are not really even having an opportunity to understand
	22	it, or try and stop it, and it will just happen. At
	23	any rate, thanks a lot.
	24	MR. BROWN: Thank you.

Skip Hyder? I saw him here earlier.

25